IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 6TH JUNE 2007 / 16TH JYAISHTA 1929

OP.No. 32667 of 2000(L)

PETITIONERS:

1. **I.T.BINU, CHALIL PUTHEN VEEDU, THAZHAM, CHATHANNOOR P.O., KOLLAM.**
2. **K.G.RAGHUNATH, KANJIRATHUVILA VEEDU, CHIRAKKARA THAZHAM, CHATHANNOOR P.O., KOLLAM.**
3. **S.RAJEEV, VALIYAVILA VEEDU, CHIRAKKARA THAZHAM, CHATHANNOOR P.O., KOLLAM.**
4. **RAJU, KOORAYILVILA VEEDU, THAZHAM, CHATHANNOOR P.O., KOLLAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.V.VENUGOPALAN NAIR

RESPONDENTS:

1. **STATE OF KERALA REP.BY DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.**
2. **THE TAHSILDAR (RR), KOLLAM.**
3. **VILLAGE OFFICER, MEENADU VILLAGE OFFICE, CHATHANNOOR P.O., KOLLAM.**
4. **SECRETARY, CHATHANNOOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT, CHATHANNOOR, P.O., KOLLAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.B.SURESH KUMAR
SMT.C.G.PREETHA
SMT.MARY BEENA JOSEPH
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.R.DEEPA

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP. NO.55767/2000 IN OP. NO.32667/2000

DISMISSED

06.06.2007 **SD/- KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT. 13.12.99.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT. NIL.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DT. NIL.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT 6.12.99.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT DT. 17.10.2000.

EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONERS THROUGH THEIR ADVOCATE DT. 11.11.2000.

/TRUE COPY/

tss

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

O.P.No.32667 of 2000

Dated this the 6th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

The writ petition is filed mainly with the following prayer:-

> b) issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the 4th respondent to measure out or assess the works already done by the petitioners within a stipulated point of time and also to direct the 4th respondent to give sanction to the petitioners to continue the work on usual terms of the agreement/bond already executed by the petitioners in favour of the 4th respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they have represented their grievances before the 4th respondent as per Ext.P6. There will be a direction to the 4th respondent to look into Ext.P6 with notice to the petitioners and take appropriate action thereon in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of the judgment. The interim order passed by this court in C.M.P.No.55767/2000 will continue till such time.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

(KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE)

ahg.

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

O.P.NO32667/2000

JUDGMENT

6th June, 2007